



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,884	07/02/2003	Michael Houghton	PP19545.003	6634
27476	7590	07/31/2006	EXAMINER	
Chiron Corporation Intellectual Property - R440 P.O. Box 8097 Emeryville, CA 94662-8097			CHEN, STACY BROWN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	

DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/612,884

Applicant(s)

HOUGHTON, MICHAEL

Examiner

Stacy B. Chen

Art Unit

1648

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 May 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 11-14, 16-24, 41, 42, 45, 47, 49 and 59 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-21 and 47 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 11-14, 16-18, 22-24, 41, 42, 45, 49 and 59 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 February 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 30, 2006 has been entered. Claims 1-4, 11-14, 16-24, 41, 42, 45, 47, 49 and 59 are pending. Claims 19-21 and 47 remain withdrawn from consideration being drawn to non-elected subject matter. Claims 1-14, 11-14, 16-18, 22-24, 41, 42, 45, 49 and 59 remain under examination.

Response to Arguments

The rejection of claims 1-4, 11, 12, 16, 22 and 59 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, is withdrawn in view of Applicant's persuasive arguments.

The rejection of claims 1-4, 11, 12, 13(a), 13(b), 14(a), 14(b), 16-18, 22-24, 41, 42, 45, 49 and 59 under rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paliard *et al.* (WO 01/30812 A2, "Paliard") in view of Houghton *et al.* (US 5,371,017, "Houghton") and Grakoui *et al.*, (Journal of Virology, 1993, 67(5):2832-2843, "Grakoui") is withdrawn in view of the unavailability of Paliard as prior art.

Previously, the Office indicated that the benefit of priority of the instant subject matter of claims 1-4, 11, 12, 13(a), 13(b), 14(a), 14(b), 16-18, 22-24, 41, 42, 45, 49 and 59 to USSN 09/721,479 and USSN 60/167,502, filed November 22, 2000 and November 24, 1999, respectively, was denied. In Applicant's response filed May 30, 2006, Applicant argues that the instant claims are entitled to the benefit of priority of USSN 09/721,479. Applicant points to page 12, lines 2-5 of USSN 09/721,479 for support of the claimed subject matter. In response, the Office considers USSN 09/721,479 to provide adequate support for the instantly claimed invention. Further, Example 3 of USSN 60/167,502, provides adequate support for the instantly claimed invention. Therefore, the claims are entitled to the benefit of priority of USSN 09/721,479 and USSN 60/167,502, filed November 22, 2000 and November 24, 1999, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

(*New Rejection*) Claims 1-4, 11-14, 16-18, 22-24, 41, 42, 45, 49 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Houghton et al. (US 5,683,864, "'864 Patent" *et al.* (WO 01/30812 A2, "Paliard") in view of Houghton *et al.* (US 5,371,017, "Houghton") and Grakoui *et al.*, (Journal of Virology, 1993, 67(5):2832-2843, "Grakoui"). The claims are drawn to an immunogenic fusion protein comprising:

- (a) a modified NS3 polypeptide comprising at least one amino acid substitution to the HCV NS3 region, such that protease activity is inhibited, and

- (b) at least one polypeptide from a region of the HCV polyprotein other than the NS3 region, wherein the fusion protein comprises sequences that are not in the order in which they occur naturally in the HCV polyprotein.

The modified NS3 polypeptide comprises a substitution of an amino acid corresponding to His-1083, Asp-1105 and/or Ser-1165, numbered relative to the full-length HCV-1 polyprotein. The fusion protein additionally comprises an NS4 polypeptide, an NS5a polypeptide, an NS5b polypeptide, and optionally a core polypeptide. The modified NS3 polypeptide and the other polypeptide are from the same HCV isolate, or from different isolates. The order of the proteins in the fusion protein from amino to carboxy terminal is: modified NS3 polypeptide, NS4 and NS5a. Another order is modified NS3 polypeptide, NS4, NS5a and NS5b. Another combination is modified NS3 polypeptide, NS4, NS5a and optionally, core polypeptide. Another combination is modified NS3 polypeptide, NS4, NS5a, NS5b and optionally, core polypeptide. Also claimed are compositions comprising the fusion proteins described, in combination with a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient. Also claimed are methods of producing a composition comprising combining the immunogenic fusion protein with a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient. Claims 41, 42, 45 and 49 are drawn to compositions that optionally are comprised of core polypeptide, wherein the core polypeptide comprises the sequence of amino acid depicted at amino acid position 1772-1892 of SEQ ID NO: 6.

The '864 Patent discloses combinations of HCV antigens from the C domain of the HCV polyprotein, and at least one additional HCV antigen from either the NS3 domain, the NS4 domain, the S domain or the NS5 domain. The antigens are in the form of a fusion protein which comprises a non-natural single continuous chain of amino acids (abstract and col. 3, lines 53-60).

Art Unit: 1648

The '864 patent contemplates the use of HCV antigens from either the same source (isolate), or different sources (isolates), see col. 4, lines 29-37, and also col. 2, lines 26-28. Specific constructs of the fusion proteins are represented in the '864 Patent claims. Constructs that include the core polypeptide of HCV include amino acids 1-122 of the core polypeptide, which correspond with amino acids 1772-1892 of SEQ ID NO: 6 of the instant invention. The '864 Patent discloses that the fusion proteins are intended for use in immunoassays. While the '864 Patent does not specifically mention "pharmaceutically acceptable excipients", the media or buffer used to store the fusion proteins for the kit is a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient (col. 7, lines 10-20). The '864 Patent fails to teach an amino acid substitution(s) in the NS3 polypeptide rendering the protease (NS3) inhibited. The '864 Patent further fails to teach the specific substitutions.

However, Houghton teaches that the replacement of critical residue, serine, in the active site of the NS3 (protease) does not significantly alter the structure of the protease, and thus preserves binding specificity. Houghton teaches that the substituted protease retains its recognition and binding properties while failing to effect cleavage of the polyprotein (col. 3, lines 29-34, and col. 14, lines 32-48). With regard to the specific substitution, Grakoui discloses the substitution of alanine for His-1083, Asp-1107 and Ser-1165 in HCV NS3, resulting in uncleaved NS domains. This activity qualifies as inhibited protease activity (abstract). While the substitution of alanine for Asp-1107 is not Asp-1105 (as claimed), position 1107 corresponds to the HCV-1 polyprotein, thus meeting the limitation of the claim.

It would have been obvious to incorporate Houghton's teachings and Grakoui's teachings into the fusion protein of the '864 Patent. One would have been motivated to render the protease

Art Unit: 1648

(NS3) non-functional in order to avoid cleavage of polyprotein, as taught by Houghton (col. 3, lines 29-34, and col. 14, lines 32-48). One would have been motivated to substitute the amino acids taught by Grakoui because Houghton discloses that certain substitutions result in the inhibition or ablation of protease function. One would have had a reasonable expectation that '864 Patent fusion protein would have worked with Houghton's NS3 amino acid substitution and Grakoui's substitution, because Grakoui demonstrates that the substitutions result in inhibited or non-existent protease activity. Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Applicant's arguments, though directed to a rejection that is now withdrawn, have been carefully considered as they pertain to the instant, new rejection set forth above. Applicant's substantive arguments are primarily directed to the following:

- Applicant argues that the Paliard reference does not describe or suggest a fusion protein comprising an NS3 modified polypeptide whose protease activity is inhibited. This argument applies to the new rejection, as the '864 Patent does not teach modified NS3 having no protease activity.
- Applicant argues that since only Paliard teaches immunogenic fusion proteins, the Houghton and Grakoui references cannot provide motivation to make immunogenic fusion proteins. This argument also applies to the new rejection, as the '864 Patent teaches immunogenic fusion proteins.
 - In response to Applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually

Art Unit: 1648

where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). While it is true the Houghton and Grakoui are silent on "immunogenic" compositions, and it is true that the '864 Patent does not teach a modified NS3, the motivation to combine the references is present and appropriate (see above rejection).

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy B. Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-0896. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campbell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Stacy B. Chen 7/24/06
STACY B. CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER